

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

-.-

CWP-25789-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.11.2023

Ran Singh @ Ran Singh Bhatti & Another ...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and OthersRespondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

Present: Mr. B.S.Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Maninder Singh, DAG Punjab.

-.-

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present petition but with liberty to access the statutorily ordained competent appellate authority for raising there, an appeal against the impugned order.

2. Permission granted.

3. Consequently, the extant petition is dismissed as withdrawn but with liberty to the petitioners to challenge the impugned annexure before the competent Authority concerned. Moreover, if the said appeal is time barred, thereupon in case any application, under Section 5 of the Limitation Act, 1963 is appended with the statutory appeal, thereupon valid

speaking orders shall be promptly made thereon by the Appellate Authority concerned, whereafter the statutory appeal shall be decided, preferably within a period of three months, but after hearing all affected concerned.

4. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed off.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

November 20, 2023
tripti

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/non-speaking : Speaking
Whether reportable : Yes/No