

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25751-2023
Date of decision: 04.12.2023

Satish Kumar Kapil

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, for the respondents.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

In essence, what has been assailed before this Court is the demand raised by the respondent-authorities for extension fee (Rs.43,13,749/-) on account of non-construction of site.

At the outset, learned counsel for the respondents submits that after the matter was re-examined, it transpired that as per 2019 policy, the actual amount outstanding against the petitioner is Rs.6,67,211/-. Therefore, the demand raised vide communication dated July 28, 2023 (P-12), was/is erroneous.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner disputes even the revised demand and submits that in the wake of the concerns/grievances raised by the petitioner, nothing is outstanding or due against the petitioner.

To this, learned counsel for the respondents submits that let this petition be disposed of, at this stage, to enable the respondent-authorities to re-examine the issue and pass appropriate order as regards the concerns/grievances of the petitioner. He further submits that before any such

orders are passed, the petitioner shall also be afforded an opportunity of hearing. And the required orders shall be passed within six weeks from today.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents.

The petition is, accordingly, disposed of in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties. This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned counsel for the respondents.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the claim/grievance of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

04.12.2023
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO