

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11146-2023

Date of decision : 19.12.2023

RAMANDEEP KAUR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Kanav Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunal Vinayak, AAG, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Short reply by way of affidavit of Davinder Kumar, PPS, DSP, Sub Division Nabha, District Patiala has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 today in Court, which is taken on record. Copy supplied.

2. As per the same it has been reported as under :

“5. That in order to ascertain the possible threat to the petitioner at the hands of the private respondents, an inquiry upon the representation moved by the petitioner has been conducted by Incharge, Women Help-desk, Patiala who has joined both the petitioner and her family members i.e. respondents No.4 to 6 in the inquiry and recorded respective statements of the parties. The petitioner has stated in her statement that now there is no interference of her parents or brother in her life and she is free to live anywhere she wants. The respondent No.5 Kiranpreet Kaur (mother of petitioner) stated in her statement that she or her family members will not interfere in the life of the petitioner and nor will they compel her to come back home. She can live anywhere as per her own wish.

Moreover, respondent No.7 Beant Singh has also given a duly Notarized Affidavit that he has no interference in the life of the petitioner and nor will he ever give any kind of threats. True typed copy of affidavit of respondent No.7 is attached herewith as **Annexure R-1.**”

- 3. Counsel for the petitioner is not in position to controvert the aforesaid statement made by the petitioner.
- 4. In view of above, the present petition is disposed off.

December 19, 2023		(Pankaj Jain)
Dpr		Judge
Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No