

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.29832 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision:02.08.2023

Govind

...Petitioner

Vs

Haryana Staff Selection Commission

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Malik, DAG, Haryana.

-. -

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been granted the benefit of five marks under the socio-economic criteria, which is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel submits that as per instructions, which he has received from Mr. Dikansh Goyal, Law Officer of the department, keeping in view the merit, which the petitioner has as of now i.e. 82.45, the petitioner has already secured the cut-off marks to be called for written examination in respect of the post advertised, hence, there is no prejudice, which is being caused to the petitioner in the facts and circumstances of the present case.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, keeping in view the statement made by the learned State counsel, submit that no further grievance of the petitioner survives and the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further. In case, any grievance of the

petitioner subsists despite the said statement of the learned State counsel, he be
given liberty to revive the present petition.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

August 02, 2023
Pankaj*

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No