

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

129

CRWP-162-2023

Date of Decision: January 10, 2023

Bansi

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Hayana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Lajpat Rai Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for issuance of writ in the nature of Certiorari for setting aside the impugned order dated 17.02.2022 vide which the case of the petitioner has been deferred for re-consideration after completion of 20 years of actual sentence and 25 years total sentence as per clause (a) (ii) of the Premature Release Police dated 13.08.2008.

Counsel for the State has opposed the present petition on the ground that this Court can only interfere in case of arbitrariness.

After arguing for some time, counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied in case he be given liberty to file fresh application and the same be decided without being influenced by the previous rejection order by the competent authority in a time bound manner.

The prayer is innocuous.

Given above, the present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file fresh application within one month from today. In case, any such an application is filed then the same shall be decided by the competent authority within two months from the date of its filing by passing a reasoned order.

It is further clarified that the petitioner may refer to all the laws points in the application and competent authority shall take note of the same also.

All pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

January 10, 2023

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
No