

134

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-3544-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.11.2023

Veerpal Kaur
Angrej Singh and others

Vs.

..... Petitioner
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: Mr. Judgepreet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Sumeet Singh Brar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

- 1. Contempt petition does not lie as the order has been passed under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 of CPC, for which remedy for non-compliance lies under Order 39 Rule 2-A of CPC.
- 2. Dismissed.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

November 17, 2023
Mohit goyal

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	Yes/No