

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

**CRWP-12034-2022 (O&M)
Decided on : 14.02.2023**

Rajni and another

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Vishneet Singh Kathpal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. J. S. Arora, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. B. S. Makkar, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

Order dated 22.12.2022 passed by this Court says as under:

“By way of filing this petition, petitioners seek necessary protection of their lives and personal liberty in view of the fact that they have not solemnized their marriage so far and are living in a live-inrelationship and are under eminent threat at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1-Rajni is earlier married to respondent No. 4-Hira Lal and out of said wedlock, no child was born. After some time of said marriage, petitioner No. 1 was beaten and harassed by respondent No. 4 on account of giving of less dowry. Learned counsel for the petitioners also submits that petitioner No. 1 has left the company of respondent No. 4 because he was drunkard person as well as a drug addicted and

she came back to her paternal home, but they also did not support petitioner No. 1. However, now, petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2 have started living in a live-in relationship and are being threatened to be eliminated by private respondents No. 4 to 7.

In the context of threat perception at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 7, petitioners have allegedly moved representation dated 12.12.2022 (Annexure P-3) to the Sr. Superintendent of Police, Fazilka (respondent No.2), wherein, all the apprehensions qua their lives and liberty has been expressed.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On asking of the Court, Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab. accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 3. He seeks time to file status report.

Adjourned to 08.02.2023.

Respondent No. 4 would be present before the Court on the next of hearing.”

Thereafter, on 08.02.2023, learned counsel on behalf of respondent No. 4- Hira Lal appeared and following order was passed by this Court:

“Mr. B.S. Makar, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.4-Hira Lal and files his power of attorney in Court today, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office is directed to tag the same at appropriate place.

Counsel representing respondent No.4-Hira Lal, after seeking necessary instructions states that his client has

no objection with the relations of the petitioners. He also states that there was a Panchayati divorce between him and his wife Rajni-petitioner No.1.

Adjourned to 14.02.2023.

Meanwhile, respondent(s) may file their reply/affidavit in regard to the averments taken in the petition and their own stand.”

In view of the stand of respondent No. 4-Hira Lal, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

14.02.2023

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No