

Hardial Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR**Present:** Mr. Madhur Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of complaint No.22 dated 16.01.2013 titled as “Sukhdeep Singh Vs. Kuldeep Singh and others” (Annexure P-2) filed under Section 156(3) Cr.PC and the summoning order dated 07.05.2018 (Annexure P-1).

Learned State counsel has produced a copy of order dated 19.07.2021 whereby the learned JMIC, Jagraon has acquitted the petitioner and dismissed the complaint. The relevant part of the said order is reproduced as under:-

“19. In view of the above-discussion, this Court is of the considered view that complainant has failed to bring on record cogent and convincing evidence to establish the prima facie commission of offence alleged against appearing accused. Thus, no prima facie case is made out against appearing accused for charge-sheeting them under any offence or to proceed further against them under any provisions of law. Accordingly, accused present in the court are discharged and complaint is ordered to be dismissed. Bail bonds and surety bonds furnished by the accused for facing trial stand discharged and file be consigned to record room.”

He further submits that in view of the above said fact, the present

petition has been rendered infructuous.

Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

02.03.2023
Parveen kumar

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No