

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

113

CRWP-11089-2023

Date of Decision : November 23, 2023

KULWINDER KAUR AND ANR

-Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Ms. Arzoo Modi, Advocate for
Mr. Gazi Mohd. Umair, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, A.A.G, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 15.11.2023, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order:-

"1. Through the instant petition, as filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners have sought issuance of directions upon the respondent No.2, to ensure protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 7, and, also to restrain the said respondents from harassing the petitioners or interfering in their personal life.

2. What allegedly constrained the petitioners to approach this Court is that their representation dated 07.11.2023 (Annexure P-3), as addressed to the respondent No.2, wherein, they sought an alike relief as claimed herein, has remained un-actioned.

3. A perusal of the case file reveals that the representation (supra) was sent through e-mail on 08.11.2023, whereas, the instant petition was filed hastily on the very next date, i.e. 09.11.2023, without awaiting any response or outcome of the representation (supra).

4. In view of the above, this Court deems it appropriate to call for

a status report from the respondent No.3- S.H.O. concerned, revealing therein, whether there is any actual threat perception to the petitioners or not.

5. Therefore, this Court deems it appropriate, at this stage, to issue notice only to the respondent No.3.

6. Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, A.A.G, Punjab waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent No.3.

7. The status report, in the above regard, shall be ensured to be filed on or before the subsequent date of hearing.”

2. Today, the learned State counsel has placed on record a vernacular affidavit sworn by the petitioners, wherein, they have stated that they do not perceive any threat at the hands of the persons, who are arrayed as private respondents in the instant writ petition. Moreover, they have also stated therein that they are not interested to pursue the instant writ petition.

3. In view of the submissions made by the petitioners in the affidavit (supra), which is in vernacular, the instant petition becomes infructuous. Therefore, the instant petition is dismissed, as having been rendered infructuous.

November 23, 2023
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No