

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

207 (A)

CRM-M-59914-2022

Date of Decision:31.10.2023

Kamal Saini @ Kamal Singh Saini
Vs.
State of Haryana

.....Petitioner

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Akshay Bhan, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. HPS Sandhu, Advocate &
Mr. Yugank Goyal, Advocate
for the complainant.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 09.12.2022, following order was passed in CRM-M-57736-
2022.

“Notice of motion for 26.4.2023.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

Reply, if any, be filed on or before the next date of hearing.”

In the present case, interim directions were issued in the same terms vide order dated 21.12.2022. As per the status report already filed by respondent- State, petitioner has already joined the investigation.

Besides, case is dependent upon documentary evidence.

CRM-M-59914-2022

Custodial interrogation or further investigation in any manner is not required. As such order dated 09.12.2022 passed in CRM-M-57736-2022 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail in case FIR No.104 dated 21.02.2022 registered under Sections 420, 120-B of the IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station City Narnaul, District Mahendergarh.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

October 31, 2023
Neetika Tuteja

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No