

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

277

**2023:PHHC:116929
CWP-29628-2022 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 04.09.2023.**

JATINDER SINGH NAGPAL .Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS .. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present Mr. Arjun Dosanj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sourabh Goel, Advocate, with
Mr. Tej Bahadur, Advocate,
for the respondents.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present writ petition is for seeking issuance of directions to respondent No.2 to allow the presence of the Advocate of the petitioner during the course of inquiry/recording of the statement of the petitioner and a videography of such proceedings may also be conducted.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents contends that the present petition has been rendered infructuous since the Advocate of the petitioner was allowed to sit at a visible distance during the course of recording of statement of the petitioner and the same has also been videographed. He refers to the statement of the Advocate of the petitioner corroborating the same appended with the written statement as Annexure R-1.

In view of the aforesaid stand of the respondents and the written statement supported by the writing by the Advocate of the petitioner himself, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is, however granted to the petitioner to seek revival of the present writ petition in case the above statement is found to be incorrect.

**September 04, 2023.
raj arora**

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No**