

CRM-M-60273-2022 (O & M)

::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-60273-2022 (O & M)

Date of decision: 26.04.2023

Somesh Choudhary

... Petitioner

V/s

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Ms. Deepali Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

On 27.02.2023, the following order was passed in this case:-

'The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.PC is for quashing of the zimni order dated 17.10.2022 (P-6) passed by the Trial Court wherein the bail of the petitioner has been cancelled and forfeited his bail bonds in FIR No.0270 dated 09.09.2019 under Sections 406 & 420 IPC registered at Police Station Industrial Sector 7, Manesar, Gurgaon (P-1) and all consequential and incidental proceedings arising therefrom.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was granted the concession of anticipatory bail and subsequently, in February, 2022, he moved an application for exemption, which was not allowed. Pursuant thereto, the impugned order dated 17.10.2022 (P-6) came to be passed. He submits that the petitioner is ready and willing to surrender before the Trial Court and face the proceedings.

CRM-M-60273-2022 (O & M)

::2::

Notice of motion for 26.04.2023.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the Trial Court within a period of one week from today and subject to a deposit of Rs.1,00,000/- as costs with the Institute for the Blind, Sector 26, Chandigarh, he shall be admitted to interim bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court”.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the said order, an amount of Rs.1,00,000/- stands deposited and the petitioner has also surrendered before the Trial Court and has since been admitted to bail vide order dated 02.03.2023 passed by JMFC, Gurugram. A copy of the receipt dated 01.03.2023 as well as order dated 02.03.2023 is taken on record as Mark ‘A’ and Mark ‘B’ respectively.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court. Disposed of.

It is expected that the petitioner shall henceforth not absent himself from the Trial but for a sufficient cause.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 26, 2023
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No