

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CWP-25568-2023

Decided on : 14.11.2023

Rama Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**PRESENT:** Mr. Naveen Batra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the service rendered by the petitioner with the Fish Farming Development Agency (FFDA) be treated as a qualifying service for computing the pensionary benefits keeping in view the settled principle of law settled by this Court in CWP No. 25646 of 2014 titled as **Deenu Khan Vs. State of Haryana and others**, decided on 13.12.2019 (Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation, a copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-1 but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Pankaj Middha, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation (Annexure P-1) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of certified copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

14.11.2023
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No