

2023:PHHC:146797
CWP-26108-2023
Date of Decision: 20.11.2023

D.K. Jain ...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present Mr. Varun Baanth, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. In view of **L.Chandra Kumar vs. Union of India and others 1997 (3) SCC 261** case and considering the provisions of the Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985, the petitioner, who is an IAS (Retd.) Officer, firstly has to put his case for redressal of his grievance before the Central Administrative Tribunal. The jurisdiction would not lie to this High Court.
2. In view thereof, the writ petition is dismissed for want of jurisdiction. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to approach Central Administrative Tribunal, as per law.
3. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

20.11.2023.
rajesh

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | : | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | : | Yes/No |