

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

120

CRM-M-59660 of 2022(O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.02.2023

Sikander Singh

---Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

---Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr.Naveen Bawa, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Amish Sharma, AAG, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-49659 of 2022

Allowed as prayed for.

CRM-M- 59660 of 2022

The petitioner, through the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., is seeking direction to official respondents to conduct fair and impartial investigation in FIR No.113 dated 28.09.2016, under Section 67 of Information Technology Act, 2000 and Sections 342, 354(c), 384, 389, 120-B and 473 IPC, registered at Police Station Dehlon, District Ludhiana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that on the complaint of petitioner, FIR was registered against four persons. The police after completing investigation, filed challan dated

30.11.2017. Respondent No. 4 was shown as proclaimed offender in the challan, however, no proceedings till date have actually been initiated under Section 82 Cr.P.C. declaring the respondent as proclaimed offender. Respondent No. 4 is roaming freely.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rupinder Singh, states that police is unaware of whereabouts of respondent No. 4 and if petitioner furnishes details of respondent No. 4, the investigating officer would take appropriate steps.

In view of statement of learned State counsel, the petitioner is directed to furnish particulars/whereabouts of respondent No. 4. This Court is sanguine of the fact that on furnishing of particulars/whereabouts of respondent No. 4 by the petitioner, investigating officer would take appropriate steps. No further order is warranted.

Disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

20.02.2023

paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No