

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

136

CWP-25567-2023
Date of Decision: 09.11.2023

Grisha Industries through Proprietor ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab
Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.2

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to allot paddy to petitioners as per Custom Milling Policy for KMS 2023-24.
2. The respondents have framed Custom Milling Policy for KMS 2023-24 and in the said policy mode and manner of allotment has been prescribed. The petitioner is entitled to allocation of paddy as per the policy.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondents are not allocating paddy in accordance with the policy.
4. Learned State counsel, who on advance notice is present in Court, asserts and assures the Court that petitioner would be allocated paddy in accordance with the policy.
5. In the wake of statement of learned State counsel, the present petition stands disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

09.11.2023
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No