

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-25962-2023
Date of decision: 20.11.2023

VINOD MITTAL @ VINOD KUMAR

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present: Ms. Amrita Nagpal, Advocate and
Mr. Anish Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG Haryana with
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. Since the learned State counsel makes a submission before this Court, that the impugned order (Anneuxre P-9) is appealable before the competent Appellate Authority concerned, thereupon the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks, and, is permitted to withdraw the instant petition, but with liberty to avail the said alternative remedy.
2. Consequently, the instant petition is disposed of accordingly.
3. However, it is clarified that if the said alternative remedy is time barred, thereupon on an application under Section 14 of The Limitation Act, 1963 becoming appended therewithin, thereupon a lawful speaking order shall be passed thereons, and, thereafter the statutory appeal shall be expeditiously decided on merits, through a speaking decision becoming drawn thereons, but after hearing all affected persons concerned.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

20.11.2023

Ithlesh

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No