

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

267

CRM-M-59731-2022

Date of decision: 24.08.2023

KULJEET SINGH GILL AND OTHERS**...Petitioners****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER****...Respondents****CORAM : HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA**

Present: Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Himani Arora, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Akhil Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Parvesh Malik, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

NIDHI GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for quashing of complaint bearing No.COMI No.103 of 2015 dated 10.12.2015 under Sections 498-A, 406, 506, 294 & 511 of IPC (Annexure P-1) and impugned summoning order dated 09.03.2018 (Annexure P-2) and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 14.12.2022 (Annexure P-3).

Pursuant to the order dated 22.12.2022 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the parties appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jagraon, to get their statements recorded.

Learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jagraon, has submitted his report along with copies of the statements of the parties vide letter dated 15.02.2023 duly forwarded by the learned District and Sessions Judge, Ludhiana.

A perusal of the above said report would show that the petitioners and respondent No.2 have appeared and suffered statements with respect to the compromise, which have been found to be voluntary, without any fear or pressure.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has further submitted that the petitioners were not declared proclaimed offender in the present case.

Learned State counsel has stated that he has no objection in case the FIR is quashed on the basis of compromise qua the petitioners.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has again reiterated that the matter has been settled and the said compromise is in the interest of all the persons and would help in bringing out peace and amity between the parties.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties and has perused the file.

After perusing the report submitted by the concerned Magistrate, this Court finds that the matter has been amicably settled between the petitioners and the complainant. Since the matter has been settled and the parties have decided to live in peace, this Court feels that in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings deserve to be quashed.

As per the Full Bench judgment of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, it is held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offences and quash the proceedings where the High Court is of the opinion that the same is required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice.

This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone. Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543**, had also observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment is reproduced hereinbelow:

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code. Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accordance with the guideline engrafted in such power viz, (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court.”

In view of what has been discussed hereinabove, this petition is allowed and complaint bearing No.COMI No.103 of 2015 dated 10.12.2015 under Sections 498-A, 406, 506, 294 & 511 of IPC (Annexure P-1) and impugned summoning order dated 09.03.2018 (Annexure P-2)

along with all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise, are ordered to be quashed qua the petitioners.

August 24, 2023

nisha-II

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Whether reportable?

(NIDHI GUPTA)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No