

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CRWP-10950-2023

Date of Decision : November 09, 2023

RAVI AND ANOTHER

-Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Naveen Siwach, Advocate
for the petitioners.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition, as filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioners have sought issuance of directions upon the respondents No.2 and 3, to take action on their representation (Annexure P-4), for thereby ensuring protection of their lives and liberty, and, also to restrain the private respondent from harassing the petitioners or interfering in their peaceful married life.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioners states that since both the petitioners have attained the minimum age, as prescribed by statute, for solemnizing marriage, therefore, they have lawfully solemnized marriage, however, it has caused grievance to the private respondent no.4. Such grievance of the respondent No.4 has made them apprehensive of danger to their lives and liberty and resultantly, it has constrained them to approach this Court, to seek protection of their lives and liberty. The marriage certificate of the petitioners is available on record as Annexure P-3. The petitioners have also submitted a representation dated

07.11.2023 (Annexure P-4) to the respondent no.2.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondent(s) only.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Bhupender Singh, D.A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondent(s).
5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2-Superintendent of Police, Bhiwani, to decide the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their lives and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order shall not be understood to have expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.
6. Disposed of accordingly.

November 09, 2023
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No