

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10972-2023

Date of Decision:14.12.2023

Arjun Rathore

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and Others.

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. G.S Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rohit Singla, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to direct the respondents No.1 and 2 for providing security of life and liberty to the petitioner and his family members. It was further prayed that the police was pressurizing the petitioner to compromise the matter with the private respondents and the enquiries are being held illegally.

2. A reply by way of an affidavit of Senior Superintendent of Police, Bathinda has been filed on behalf of respondent No.2 and the same is taken on record.

3. Learned State counsel submits that the complaints were received from both the sides and after holding the enquiries, it was found to be a dispute

of business transaction between both the parties. Since it was a financial dispute between the parties, no action was required and the matter has been closed by the police. Learned State counsel further submits that DSP,PBI,E.O and Cyber Crime, Bathinda has already submitted an enquiry report dated 23.11.2023 and the enquiry report has been approved and ordered to be filed by the competent authority.

4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further directions are required and the petition is accordingly disposed off.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

14.12.2023
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No