

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25711-2023

Date of decision: 16.11.2023

Ritu Yadav

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Karanvir Hooda, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Karan Jindal, Asstt. AG, Haryana.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, for the respondent-HSIIDC.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner herein has prayed for the following substantive relief:

**“Civil Writ Petition under Article 226 and 227 of the
Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ,
especially in the nature of certiorari to quash/set-aside
the impugned inquiry report dated 29.03.2022
(Annexure P-7), whereby it was intimated to the
petitioner that the bid of the petitioner could not be
accepted as the same was “not encouraging”, despite the
petitioner’s bid being Rs. 2,00,000/- over and above the
reserve price and the petitioner being the successful**

bidder/highest bidder qua the Shop No.64 measuring 144 sq. mrt., in Narnaul.

AND

Further prayer for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to intimate the date of H-1 bid (10% amount of the sale price) and relevant dates of the remaining sale price, so as to enable the petitioner to make payment of the remaining amount, as petitioner has always been ready and willing to pay the entire sale consideration qua the Shop No.64 measuring 144 sq.mrt. in Narnaul.

OR/ALTERNATIVELY

Further prayer that during the pendency of the present writ petition any fresh e-auction qua the Shop No.64 measuring 144 sq.mrt., in Narnaul may be stayed.”

Upon being served with an advance copy of the petition, Mr. Karan Jindal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, on behalf of the respondent(s)-State, and Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, on behalf of the respondent-HSIIDC, are present in Court.

Learned counsel for the respondent-HSIIDC submits that pursuant to the auction dated 27.01.2022, the petitioner was adjudged as H-1, against a reserve price of Rs.56,16,000/-, as he had submitted a bid of Rs.58,16,000/-. However, he submits, the said auction process was cancelled/scrapped, and the amount deposited by the petitioner was refunded as back as on 08.03.2022.

However, he further submits that still if the petitioner has any grievance, he may move the authorities in this regard, and on receipt of any such representation, the authorities shall duly consider the concerns/grievance of the petitioner. And pass appropriate orders, which shall be communicated to the petitioner.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let this petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-HSIIDC.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Vikram Aggarwal)
Judge

16.11.2023
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO