

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

DECIDED ON: 16th January, 2023

(1) CRM-M-29941 of 2022 (O&M)

Sukhvir Singh @ Sukhi

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....RESPONDENT

(2) CRM-M-59489 of 2022 (O&M)

Rohit alias Sonu Sandal alias Soni

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Punjab

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Kunal Choksi, Advocate and
Mr. Dinesh Nagar, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Iqbal Singh Mann, DAG Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

One of the main ground for seeking regular bail is that the trial is not progressing at a decent pace.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Balwinder Kumar submits there are total ten prosecution witnesses, out of which eight have been examined and remaining two prosecution witnesses are police officials and an earnest effort would be made to examine the remaining witnesses within one month from the date fixed.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioners are not pressing the present petitions at this stage.

Dismissed as not pressed.

There is no doubt that in case a request is made before the Court concerned for accommodating the date for expeditious examination of the prosecution witnesses, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

Since the main case has been dismissed, pending application, if any is rendered infructuous.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

16th January, 2023
reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>No</i>