

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

246 (14 cases)

(1) CWP-34869-2019

Date of Decision : October 10, 2023

Joginder Singh and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(2) CWP-34974-2019

Naresh Kumar and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(3) CWP-35038-2019

Sat Parkash and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(4) CWP-35071-2019

Mohan Lal and others

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(5) CWP-35078-2019

Narender Kumar and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(6) CWP-35091-2019

Rattan Lal and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(7) CWP-35188-2019

Gautam Lal and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(8) CWP-35197-2019

Gurmukh Dass and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(9) CWP-35284-2019

Ved Parkash and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(10) CWP-934-2020

Jagdish Chander and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(11) CWP-914-2020

Dayanand

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(12) CWP-4377-2020

Om Parkash and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(13) CWP-4307-2020

Lajpat Rai and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

(14) CWP-1472-2020

Jai Kishan and others

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dharamvir Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-35078-2019, CWPs-934, 914, 4377, 4307-2020.

Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate for
Mr. Sat Narain Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners
in CWP-1472-2020.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. By this common order, above mentioned fourteen writ petitions are being disposed of as all the writ petitions involve the same question of law and similar facts.

2. In the present petitions, the claim of the petitioners is that they are not being granted the overtime allowance though, they are entitled for the same keeping in view the settled principle of law settled by this Court while passing order in CWP No. 3005 of 2018 titled as Brij Bhushan and others Vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 01.02.2019.

3. On being asked, as to on which date, the petitioners had rendered overtime service so as to get the said allowance, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no detail has been mentioned in the petition but the same can be furnished to the respondents by filing an appropriate representation.

4. Learned State counsel submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioners giving the details of the period for which the petitioners had discharged the over time duties, the same will be looked into and verified from the record and in case, the said claim is found to be genuine, the benefit of overtime allowance will be granted to the petitioner(s) within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such representation, if not already made or petitioners have not been provided with rest day to compensate overtime.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the said statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with

liberty to the petitioners to file appropriate representation before the authorities concerned.

- 6. Ordered accordingly.
- 7. Miscellaneous application pending, if any, also stands disposed of.
- 8. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

October 10, 2023
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No