

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-56821-2018

Date of decision: 19.04.2023

ANUJ KUMAR PANDEY

....Petitioner.

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - None for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Malik, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J.

Reply by way of affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Sarai, Faridabad dated 24.06.2019 has been taken on record.

Learned State counsel submits that it is specifically mentioned in the detailed affidavit that the FIR in question has been forwarded for cancellation to the concerned Court and thereby the grievance of the petitioner has been satisfied. It is submitted that the present petition has become infructuous.

None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner from the last many days.

It transpires that the petitioner does not want to further pursue the matter.

Accordingly, the petition has been dismissed for want of prosecution.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

19.04.2023
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |