

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-29217-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 08.02.2023

PARAMRAJ SINGH UMRANANGALPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present : Mr. Sudhanshu Khanna, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Addl. A.G. Punjab with
Mr. Inderpreet S. Kang, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

Counsel representing the respondent-State submits that owing to the fact that the Inquiry Officer has been changed, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the aforesaid fact.

Resultantly, the present petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed off.

February 08, 2023
Dpr

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No