

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.7092 of 2023
Date of Decision: 19.12.2023**

Amritpreet Kaur (minor) through her mother

...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Gulzar Singh & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Ms. Tuneet Walia, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner-plaintiff (here-in-after to be referred as 'the plaintiff') has laid challenge to order Annexure P-1, as passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Baba Bakala Sahib, District Amritsar (for short 'the trial Court') in *Civil Suit No.165 of 2017* titled as "***Amritpreet Kaur vs. Gulzar Singh & others***" on 03.10.2023, whereby application Annexure P-2, as filed by her under Section 151 CPC for seeking permission to produce and tender/exhibit the certified copies of *jamabandis* and also of sale-deeds dated 04.08.2017 and 21.08.2017 on the record, has been dismissed.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner-plaintiff in the present revision-petition, at the preliminary stage and have also gone through the file carefully.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner-plaintiff contends that due to inadvertence, the plaintiff could not produce the afore-mentioned documents

in her evidence earlier whereas these are necessary for the proper decision of the above-referred Civil Suit but vide the impugned order, the trial Court has wrongly dismissed the afore-said application and therefore, the said order is not legally sustainable and hence, it deserves to be set aside.

4. However, the above-raised contention does not hold any water as the trial Court has specifically mentioned in Para No.4 in the impugned order that the evidence of the plaintiff had been closed by its order on 13.12.2022 and on feeling aggrieved therefrom, the plaintiff had approached this Court by moving the Revision Petition bearing **CR No.186 of 2023** and vide the order Annexure P-3 passed therein on 09.03.2023, the trial Court had been directed to afford one more effective opportunity to her (plaintiff) to lead/conclude her evidence in the afore-said Suit subject to payment of the cost of Rs.5000/-. Concededly, the plaintiff has already availed the above-granted opportunity to conclude her evidence. In the afore-discussed scenario, this Court is of the considered opinion that she does not deserve any further opportunity, as prayed for by her in the above-mentioned application.

5. As a sequel to the fore-going discussion, it follows that the impugned order does not suffer from any illegality, irregularity, infirmity or perversity so as to call for any interference by this Court. Resultantly, the revision-petition in hand, being *sans* any merit, stands dismissed.

19.12.2023
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>