

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-25324-2023(O&M)

Date of decision : 19.12.2023

Sukhwinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Gurjit Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHLPresent: Mr.Judgepreet Singh Warring, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr.Amandeep Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent no.1.**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the impugned order dated 12.09.2023 passed by respondent no.2.

2. On 21.11.2023, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Inter alia contends that in the present case, as per the pleadings of respondent No.1 as well as the petitioner, compromise had been effected between the parties and the said compromise has been annexed as Annexure P-6 with the petition and as per the same, the petitioner was to vacate the premises and also take his articles but the remaining articles were to be placed in a room which the petitioner could lock. It is further submitted that the petitioner is ready to abide by the terms of the said compromise inasmuch as he or his wife would not enter the premises but the articles which are lying in one

room under lock should not be thrown out in terms of the said compromise. It is contended that the petitioner is ready to take care of his old parents and in order to show his bona fide, is ready to pay an amount of Rs.7000/- per month as maintenance with Rs.15,000/- as litigation expenses.

*Notice of motion for 19.12.2023.
To be taken up at 12:00 pm.*

Till the next date of hearing, the articles of the petitioner lying in the locked one room as per the compromise (Annexure P-6) would not be thrown away, subject to the petitioner and his wife not entering the house in question, and also subject to the petitioner bringing a demand draft amounting to Rs.22,000/- (Rs.7000/- as maintenance for the month of November, 2023 and Rs.15,000/- as litigation expenses) on the next date of hearing.

It is made clear that in case, the said demand draft amounting to Rs.22,000/- prepared in the name of respondent No.1 is not produced on the next date of hearing, then the interim order granted would be liable to be vacated.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition.
4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn.
5. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, stands disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

December 19, 2023.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No