

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-59924-2022
DECIDED ON:23.01.2023**

GAUTAM SINGH ALIAS KALA

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Hitesh Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., has made for quashing of impugned order dated 28.09.2022 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Gurdaspur, in FIR No.21 dated 23.02.2021 under Section 22(b) of NDPS Act, 1985 registered at Police Station Qila Lal Singh Police District Batala Administrative District Gurdaspur (Annexure P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has contended that it is only the date on which the petitioner became absent and he was admitted in a Drug Center for rehabilitation and medication and could not inform his counsel in the trial Court and therefore no intimation could be made before the Court below for his absent.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab accepts notice and states that the petitioner was involved in a case of NDPS Act which is grave in nature and has absented himself intentionally and therefore does

not deserve any kind of concession of bail before this Court.

Be that as it may, considering the fact that the joining of the petitioner in the proceedings before the trial Court will only facilitate the go-ahead of the trial in the matter and also the fact that only sole reason of absence is on account of him getting treatment in the rehabilitation center, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the trial Court within a period of one week from today and in case he moves an application for bail, the same shall be considered by the Court below and disposed of in accordance with law expeditiously.

Disposed off accordingly.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

23.01.2023
Meenu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>