

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(105+258)

CM-7060-CII-2022 and
CM-24886-CII-2019 in/and
FAO-7048-2019
Date of Decision : 02.05.2023

Bikkar Singh @ Balkar Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Bhagwant Rai and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the appellant.

Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. D.R. Bansal, Advocate for applicant/respondent No. 4.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

CM-7060-CII-2022

Present application has been filed by applicant/respondent No. 4 for placing on record reply to the application seeking condonation of delay.

Application is allowed and reply is taken on record.

CM-24883-CII-2019 and CM-24886-CII-2019 in/and FAO-7048-2019

On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed :-

“No one appears on behalf of the appellant to address the arguments on the application seeking condonation of delay in filing the appeal.

Learned counsel for respondent No. 4 submits that vide order dated 05.12.2019 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, execution proceedings have been stayed, which is causing prejudice.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 02.05.2023.

Registry is directed to inform the next date of hearing to the learned counsel for the appellant. It is made clear that in case no one appears on behalf of the appellant on the adjourned date, an appropriate order will be passed.”

As per the report, learned counsel for the appellant have already been informed about today's hearing despite that no one appears on behalf of the appellant to press the present appeal. It can be safely presumed that appellant has already lost interest and is not interested in pursuing the present appeal.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

May 02, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No