

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

SEEMA PANI
2023.01.18.14:22
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this order/judgment

114

CWP-1029-2023

Date of Decision:18.01.2023

Chandigarh Technologies

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

**Present: Ms. Neha Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Somesh Gupta, Senior Panel Counsel,
for respondent No.1-Union of India.**

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ , J.(Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to refund the security/performance bank guarantee which is claimed to have been illegally withheld.

The respondent No.2 from whom the payment is being claimed is situated in Hyderabad. There is nothing on record to suggest that the payment in question was undisputedly due. Even the contract in question has not been appended along with the petition. The aspect of jurisdiction of this Court also remains to be answered.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner thus seeks permission to withdraw the present petition to take recourse to the alternative remedy(ies) as available to the petitioner in accordance with law.

Disposed of as withdrawn with liberty, as aforesaid.

**January 18, 2023
seema**

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No