

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-56366-2023
Date of decision: 12.12.2023**

INDERJIT SINGH AND ORS

...Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N. S. SHEKHAWAT

Present: Mr. Vishal Deep Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Shairon Tyagi, Advocate for
Mr. Pushp Jain, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

N. S. SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

Reply has been filed by way of affidavit of Assistant Superintendent of Police, Ambala on behalf of respondent No.1-State, which is taken on record.

The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of an FIR No. 91 dated 05.05.2023 under Sections 406/420/506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 370 of IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act has been added later on) at Police Station Naggal, District Ambala (Annexure P-1) alongwith all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 15.10.2023 (Annexure P-2).

Vide order dated 08.11.2023 while issuing notice of motion, this Court had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with respect to the compromise 15.10.2023 (Annexure P-2).

Pursuant to aforesaid order, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ambala and got their statements recorded. Report dated 28.11.2023 has been received whereby after recording the statements of the parties, the Court below has shown its satisfaction that the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court below and considering the offence for which the accused have been charged are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out the differences for betterment of future life of the parties and also in view of the law laid down in **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the present petition for quashing the FIR is allowed qua the petitioners.

Resultantly, FIR No. 91 dated 05.05.2023 under Sections 406/420/506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (Section 370 of IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act has been added later on) at Police Station Naggal, District Ambala (Annexure P-1) alongwith all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

12.12.2023
M.Sikka

(N. S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No