

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

CRM-M-59395-2022

Date of decision: 25.09.2023

Garish Chowdhary and another

....Petitioners

Versus

Hanish Dadhwal

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : None for the petitioners.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J.

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of complaint No.1968 of 2019, Annexure P-1 and summoning order dated 08.02.2019, Annexure P-2 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana as well as order dated 12.10.2022, Annexure P-12.
2. This Court had passed the following orders: 09.01.2023, 18.01.2023, 24.03.2023, 01.05.2023, 10.05.2023 and 16.08.2023:

09.01.2023

“Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks some time to address arguments.

Adjourned to 18.01.2023.”

18.01.2023

“Learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioners seeks an adjournment on the ground that arguing counsel for the petitioner is unwell.

Adjourned to 24.03.2023.”

24.03.2023

“Even on the second call, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 01.05.2023.”

01.05.2023

“Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to place on record the copies of all the zimni orders passed during the trial proceedings.

Adjourned to 10.05.2023.”

10.05.2023

“There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.
Perusal of order sheets reveal that matter has been adjourned for the last four dates at the request of the learned counsel for petitioners.
In the interest of justice, adjourned to 16.08.2023.”

16.08.2023

“There is no representation on behalf of the petitioners.
In the interest of justice, adjourned to 25.09.2023.
Date be notified to the learned counsel for the petitioners.”

- 2. As per office report, learned counsel has been informed about the date fixed, however, there is still no representation on behalf of the petitioners despite case having been called out twice.
- 3. It appears that the petitioners are not interested to pursue the matter.
- 4. Dismissed for want of prosecution.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

25.09.2023
Ankur

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No