

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

213-CRM-M-59132-2022

Date of Decision: 08.02.2023

Rajbir @ Raju

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. HS Jaiswal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG Haryana

Sandeep Moudgil, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.505 dated 30.12.2020 under Sections 379-A, 379-B, 392, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station Sadar Rohtak, District Rohtak.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the only allegation *qua* the petitioner is with regard to snatching of Rs.4800/- from Ajmer Singh at about 6.30 PM on 30.12.2020 and he has been roped in falsely in this case as nothing material is on record to implicate the present petitioner with the instant FIR.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG Haryana accepts notice and submits that the petitioner was found involved in 26 such like other cases, and out of which, in 5 cases, the trial is still going on whereas in other 16 cases, he has convicted.

To rebut the said argument, learned counsel for the petitioner states that out of those 16 cases, he has already completed the sentence and all those cases/conviction stands completed as undergone.

Be that as it may, considering the offence being of petty nature and the period suffered so far by the petitioner in custody i.e. 1 year 9 months and 29 days added with the fact that the trial is likely to take some time, therefore, no fruitful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars, the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The present petition is, therefore, allowed.

08.02.2023

V.Vishal

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
- 2. Whether reportable?

(Sandeep Moudgil)
Judge

Yes/No
Yes/No