

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

107

**2023:PHHC:142428  
CWP No.25197 of 2023  
Date of Decision:08.11.2023**

**Naman Brar (Minor) and another**

**....Petitioners**

VS.

**Union of India and another**

**....Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL**

Present: Mr. Kartik Sachdeva, Advocate for  
Ms. Sirat Sapra, Advocate  
for the petitioners

Mr. Arvind Seth, Central Govt. Counsel  
for the Union of India

\*\*\*

**JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondents to re-issue passport to petitioner No. 1.

2. The petitioner No. 1 is a minor daughter of Rekha Rani and Sukhveer Singh. The parents of petitioner No. 1 are not in good terms. They filed petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act seeking divorce by mutual consent, however, it was withdrawn. The petitioners have applied for re-issuance of passport, however, respondents in the absence of consent of father of the petitioner No. 1 has withheld passport.

3. Learned counsel for Union of India, who on advance notice is present in Court, submits that petitioners have filed representation dated

30.09.2023 (Annexure P-8) which would be considered by competent authority and order would be passed in accordance with law.

4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for Union of India, the petition stands disposed of. The respondents are directed to consider case of the petitioners in accordance with various instructions issued by Central Government. The needful shall be done within 8 weeks from today.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**08.11.2023**  
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes  
Whether reportable: Yes/No