

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25317-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 18.12.2023

Gurlal Singh and others

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: Mr. Harbans Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioners by way of this writ petition claim consideration for appointment on the post of PTI teachers. The recruitment of PTI teacher was for 849 posts, and while cut off was laid down for general category for 423 posts, for SC posts which was SC ex-servicemen as well as SC sports person, all eligible candidates were called to participate and submit their forms for scrutiny of original documents.
2. However, it is not the case of the petitioners that they were falling in merit or that they had submitted their documents for scrutiny. The petitioners are setting up a case that for total number of posts available in the cadre of PTIs, the reserved posts must be 25%, and thus in the cadre of 1300 PTI teachers posts, 325 SC candidates were to be taken, but only 254 SC candidates have been recruited.
3. In the opinion of this Court, merely because less number of SC candidates have been recruited, it does not create a right in favour of the petitioners who have not been found to participate in selection.

They have not even applied, as all the candidates who had applied from SC category under the advertisement for the total 849 posts had been called and were declared eligible.

4. Writ petition is wholly misconceived and shorn of facts, and is accordingly *dismissed*.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

December 18, 2023

Mohit goyal

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |