

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11791-2022

Date of Decision:27.04.2023

Vinod and Anr.

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioners had filed the instant petition before this Court with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 and 3 to provide adequate protection to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, who apprehend threat to their lives at the hands of respondent No.4.

Upon notice, a reply by way of an affidavit of Superintendent of Police, Sirsa has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned State counsel submits that one FIR No.394, dated 09.12.2022, under Sections 363/366-A IPC, Police Station Sector-40 Gurugram was registered against Vinod, petitioner No.1 on the basis of the statement made by respondent No.4. Learned State counsel further submits that since the petitioner No.2 is minor, Section 6 of the POCSO Act has been added in the present case. Learned State counsel further contends that Vinod-petitioner

No.1/accused has been arrested by the police in the present case and the matter is being investigated fairly. Still further, the custody of petitioner No.2/minor daughter of respondent No.4 has been handed over to her parents, whereas petitioner No.1 has been arrested by the police and is confined in District Jail, Gurugram.

Consequently, both the petitioners are not entitled for any protection by this Court.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, there is no need to pass further orders and the petition is dismissed as such.

27.04.2023
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No