

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-28912-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.04.2023

Maninder Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. P.K.Ganga, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. R.S.Pandher, Sr.DAG, Punjab

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J (Oral)

1. While praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus, the petitioner prays for direction to the respondents to conduct type test for Group D (Class IV) employees of all the Boards, Departments and Organisations. She claims to have been appointed as a Ward Attendant on 22.04.1999. The petitioner relies upon the instructions issued on 25.03.2021 (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that every year the competent authority is bound to hold type test, which has not been held for quite some time. In order to give opportunity to the Group D service, a member of the Group D service is promoted to the post of Clerk. Learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the second sentence of the instructions is in fact contrary to the first sentence.

3. On a careful reading of the second sentence, it is evident that

it relates to training regarding type test and does not deal with the type test.

4. Learned State counsel has submitted that the petitioner has already been given training regarding type test on Government expenses.

5. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, no ground to issue the writ, as prayed for, is made out.

6. Hence, dismissed.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

26.04.2023

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE