

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCp-3976-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 07.02.2023**

Kishal Pal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Meenakshi and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pranav Goyal, Advocate
for the respondents.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges violation of the order dated 31.10.2018, passed by this Court in CM-16473-C-2018 in RSA-6334-2016, vide which it was directed that there will be *status quo* regarding possession.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the suit was filed seeking permanent injunction against the respondents on the basis of the possession over the property, however, the suit was dismissed by both the Courts below and the aforesaid RSA was filed before this Court.

Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that even a complaint was given to the police and the proceedings under Sections 107/151 Cr.P.C. were initiated.

It is further submitted that since both the Courts below have held that it is the respondents, who are in possession of the property in dispute, therefore, *status quo* regarding possession granted by this Court is to be interpreted in terms of the same.

After hearing learned counsel for the respondents and going through record, no case of any willful disobedience is made out.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

Rule stands discharged.

The petitioner is granted liberty to avail his alternative remedy in accordance with law, if so advised.

07.02.2023
Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>