

127

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCP-3456-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.11.2023

SURENDER SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

V/s.

***SH. RIPPUDAMAN SINGH, IAS, DIRECTORY ELEMENTARY
EDUCATION HARYANA***

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA.

Present Mr. Parth Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J (Oral)

1. The petitioner has preferred this Contempt Petition alleging that the order passed by this Court has not been complied with in its true letter and spirit.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that although this Court had passed an order dated 28.01.2021 for deciding the representation. While deciding the representation, the respondents have denied benefits to the petitioners stating that it would be complied after rationalization and finalization of the seniority. Thereafter, another junior has been promoted. Thus, the decision conveyed to the petitioner is misleading.

3. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioners and find that the order passed by the respondents was in compliance of the orders of this Court which directed them to decide the legal notice. Once the legal notice has been decided, though may be in a particular form, and thereafter if any new cause of action has arisen, the remedy available is not by way of contempt petition, but to take up in

separate legal proceedings for redressal of the petitioners’ grievances. The contempt petition is, therefore, misconceived and is **dismissed** accordingly.

4. All pending applications filed in this Contempt Petition shall stand disposed of.

November 8, 2023

Ess Kay

**[SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA]
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking / reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No.</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>