

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-10801-2023

Date of decision: 06.11.2023

RAMAN KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

The instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus seeking direction to the police authorities for protecting the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members and to consider and dispose of the representation dated 18.10.2023 (Annexure P-6) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

2. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that feeling aggrieved by the acts and conduct of the official respondents, the petitioner has approached the police, but no action was taken by the SHO concerned. A representation dated 18.10.2023 (Annexure P-6) was moved to respondent No.-2 The Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, but till date no action thereon has been taken. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that if for the purpose of the petition, the petitioner will be satisfied if appropriate directions are given to respondent

CRWP-10801-2023

-2-

No.2- The Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot, to consider and dispose of the representation (Annexure P-6) dated 18.10.2023 in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

5. After hearing the respective submissions and also the averments made in the petition by learned counsel for the petitioner, without commenting on the purpose of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- The Senior Superintendent of Police, Pathankot to consider and dispose of the representation dated 18.10.2023 (Annexure P-6) moved by the petitioner in accordance with law in an expeditious manner and the decision so taken be also intimated to the petitioner as well.

6. Disposed of.

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

06.11.2023

kanika

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No