

112 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-58645-2022

Date of decision: 10.05.2023

SHARDA RANI AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

V/s

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG Haryana.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA J. (ORAL)

In pursuance of the order dated 15.12.2022, learned State counsel has filed reply, which is already on record.

Learned State counsel submits that as per reply filed by the State, till today no evidence has been found against the petitioners regarding their involvement in the alleged crime.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that, since nothing has been found against the petitioners till today and the matter is still under investigation, he does not wish to press this petition, at this stage and prays that in case anything incriminating is found against the petitioners, State may be directed to follow legal procedure by issuing notice under Sections 41-A or 160 of Cr.P.C. to the petitioners.

Prayer is accepted.

State is directed to follow procedure in accordance with law as prayed for.

In view of the above, present petition stands disposed of, being not pressed.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

10.05.2023

Ajay Goswami