

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 37 of 2023(O&M)

Date of Decision:12.07.2023

Ram Ashra

.....Petitioner

Versus

Banking Ombudsman and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU TAGORE

**Present: Mr. Sachin Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

1. Petitioner in this case has challenged order dated 11.03.2019, Annexure P-1, whereby the Banking Ombudsman-II, New Delhi, has rejected the complaint filed by the petitioner with liberty to him to avail the remedy as may be available to him in accordance with law.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to deny that in the present writ petition, disputed questions of fact are involved. Accordingly, we do not find any ground to interfere in exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Needless to say, petitioner is at liberty to avail the remedy/remedies as may be available to him in accordance with law.
3. Writ petition is accordingly dismissed with liberty as aforementioned without any expression of opinion on the merits of the matter.

(LISA GILL)

JUDGE

(RITU TAGORE)

JUDGE

July 12, 2023.

s.khan

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.