

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

-.-

CWP-25106-2023

Date of Decision : 07.11.2023

M/s Falak Screening Plant and Another

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana & Others

....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA**

-.-

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

-.-

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. The learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the instant writ petition with liberty to make an appeal against the impugned order (Annexure P-8), before the Competent Appellate Authority concerned. In the event of the said appeal being filed, the same shall be decided through a well reasoned speaking order becoming made thereon, thus within a period of three months, but after hearing all the affected persons.

2. In case the said statutory appeal is time barred, thus, upon an application under Section 14 of the Limitation Act, 1963, becoming

appended therewith, thereupon, the Competent Appellate Authority, concerned, may well consider the condonation of the relevant delay, and, may thereafter proceed to make an adjudication on the merits of the appeal.

3. No order as to costs.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

November 07, 2023
tripti

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No