

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

CWP-25355-2023
Date of Decision : 09.11.2023

BALJIT SINGH AND ANR.Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUDEEPTI SHARMA

Present : Mr. Lalit Sharma, Advocate
for Mr. Gursimran Singh Madaan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Maninder Singh, DAG, Punjab.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (Oral)

1. The learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by the concerned, does not oppose the prayer made in the writ petition, that a mandamus be passed, upon, the authority siezed with representation (Annexure P-3), to but after issuing notices to all affected persons concerned, thus thereafter draw a valid speaking decision thereons.
2. Therefore, the said prayer is allowed and a mandamus is made upon the authorities concerned, siezed with the representation (Annexure P-3), to after hearing all affected persons concerned, thus draw a valid speaking decision thereons, but within four months from today.
3. Disposed of accordingly.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(SUDEEPTI SHARMA)
JUDGE

09.11.2023

kavneet singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No