

263

2023:PHHC:041975

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-59153-2022

Date of decision :22.03.2023

RAMMI

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Pardeep Panwar, Advocate  
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, Asst. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. S.S. Nain, Advocate  
for respondent No.4.

\*\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)**

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of an FIR No.53 dated 21.02.2022 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 IPC at Police Station DLF Phase-I, District Gurugram along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioner and respondent no.2.

Vide order dated 19.12.2022 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 30.11.2022 (P-2).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 19.12.2022 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Gurugram and as per the report dated 27.02.2023 submitted to

this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”***.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Gurugram accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.53 dated 21.02.2022 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 IPC at Police Station DLF Phase-I, District Gurugram along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

Petition stands disposed of.

**(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)**  
**JUDGE**

**22.03.2023**  
JITESH

**Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No**

**Whether reportable:- Yes/No**