

Subhash Rana and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Govind Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for issuance of direction to respondents to open the portal of the website 'Meri Fasal Mera Byora' and to provide the 'One time Password' to the petitioners to enable them to sell their crops through the Government Portal of the land in question and which the petitioners have been selling through the said portal since the year 2018, when the said portal was set up by the Government; for quashing the order communication (Annexure P-13) whereby the request of the petitioner for opening the portal has been rejected illegally by the respondents on the ground that the land in question is of Gram Panchayat on the basis of instructions dated 21.06.2022 (Annexure P-4) have been issued by the Financial Commissioner (Revenue)-respondent No.1 and further a memo No.2841-47/SK, dated 01.06.2022 (Annexure P-6) issued by Deputy Commissioner, respondent No.2 which has been stayed by this Court in CWP-18015-2022 vide order dated 29.08.2022 filed by the petitioners etc. but in spite of the same, the respondents have not opened the portal for sale of the produce by the petitioners illegally despite they being the owners in possession of the land in question since the year 1940. Further prayer has been made to direct the respondents to open the

Government Portal to enable the petitioners to sell their produce and to provide them the required OTP during the pendency of the present writ petition.

Notice was issued in this case.

Learned State counsel on instructions has submitted before this Court that necessary password for opening the portal has been provided to the petitioners and thus, their grievances have already been redressed. He submits that in view of this, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

However, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that time and again the petitioners have to approach the respondent-State and the State should consider and streamline the process so as to avoid the difficulties faced by the petitioners.

In view of the submissions made by learned State counsel that the grievances of the petitioners have already been redressed, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, in the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioners are at liberty to avail their remedy available to them in case any further cause of action accrues to them.

11.12.2023
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE