

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

CWP-24873-2023
Decided on : 06.11.2023

Seema

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Shubhkarman Singh Gill, Advocate for
Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed challenging the transfer order dated 07.07.2022 (Annexure P-3) on the ground that the transfer is contrary to the policy dated 25.05.2022 (Annexure P-1). Learned counsel submits that the widow/widower employees should have been exempted from the transfer. He further submits that though the petitioner has already joined at the transferred place but the grievance of the petitioner which has been raised vide representation dated 01.02.2023 (Annexure P-5) is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case, an appropriate order is passed by the respondents in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits that in case, representation (Annexure P-5) dated 01.02.2023 is still pending consideration with the authority concerned, appropriate order will be passed within a period of 6 weeks of the receipt of the copy of this order.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.
5. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

06.11.2023
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No