

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No.7043 of 2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.11.2023**

Gobind Narayan Rampal

...Revisionist-Petitioner

Versus

Padam Dev (now deceased) through his LR & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Ms. Gauri Neo Rampal Opal, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)

By way of the instant revision petition, the petitioner-plaintiff No.1 has invoked the jurisdiction of this Court under Article 227 of the Constitution of India to lay challenge to the order (Annexure A-1) passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Amritsar (for short 'the trial Court') in **Civil Suit No.6580 of 2018** titled as "***Gobind Naryan Rampal vs. Padam Dev etc***" on 09.10.2023, whereby the application moved by the respondents No.1 to 9-defendants under Section 65 of the Indian Evidence Act to lead secondary evidence in respect of some documents, as detailed therein, has been allowed and he has also made a prayer to direct the trial Court to decide the above-referred Civil Suit in a time bound manner.

2. While assisting the Court at the preliminary stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has restricted her prayer to issuance of direction to the trial Court to decide the afore-said Suit expeditiously.

3. Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner and also the fact that the afore-said Suit is pending adjudication since the year 2018 and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the same, the revision petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with a direction to the concerned trial Court to expedite the trial proceedings and to decide the above-mentioned Civil Suit, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible.

22.11.2023
seema

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes*
Whether Reportable: *No*