

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10807-2023

Date of Decision :- 06.11.2023

Amitdeep

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr.Hanpal Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has *inter alia* sought issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect his life and personal liberty by providing adequate security and he also sought a direction to respondent No.4 not to interfere in his personal life.

2. In view of the judgments, *Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. and others (2008) 2 SCC 409* and *M. Subramaniam and another Vs. S. Janaki and another 2020 (2) RCR (Criminal) 788*, petitioner has an alternative remedy under the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973, which he has not availed.

3. Petition is dismissed.
4. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to avail the remedy
available to him in accordance with law.

06.11.2023		(SUVIR SEHGAL)
Brij		JUDGE
Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No