

121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-55865-2023
Date of decision: 15.12.2023

Pankaj Yadav and othersPetitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Hans Raj Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Gurjant Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vipin Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 5.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No.0155 dated 21.04.2021 under Sections 148/149/323/427/452/506 of IPC and moreover, the challan has been presented under Sections 323/427/452/506/34 of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Saran District Faridabad (Annexure P-1) on the basis of compromise dated 13.10.2023 (Annexure P-2).

2. The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant/respondent No.2-Reeta on the allegations that the accused-petitioners have given beatings to her and her family members (other private respondents) and threatened them to kill. Now with the intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their disputes and differences.

CRM-M-55865-2023

-2-

3. Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the trial Court/Area Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Faridabad, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any threat, pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one.

4. The learned State counsel, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for the complainant/respondents admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

6. In a decision, based on compromise, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak. A two Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Shakunta Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63***, speaking through Justice V.R. Krishna Iyer, has held as under:-

“4.The finest hour of justice arrives propitiously when parties, despite falling apart, bury the hatchet and weave a sense of fellowship or reunion.....”

CRM-M-55865-2023

-3-

7. Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466** and **Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.0155 dated 21.04.2021 under Sections 148/149/323/427/452/506 of IPC and moreover, the challan has been presented under Sections 323/427/452/506/34 of Indian Penal Code registered at Police Station Saran District Faridabad (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are hereby quashed *qua* petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

15.12.2023
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No